

Table 8 - Total Estimated Subsistence Salmon Harvest by Species and Community for the Kuskokwim Area, 2021. Page 1 of 2

Community	Households			Salmon species															
				Chinook			Chum			Sockeye			Coho			Pink			
	Total households	Households surveyed	Percentage of households surveyed	Estimated average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Estimated average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Estimated average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Estimated average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Estimated average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	
Kongiganak ^a	90	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Kuskokwim Bay	90	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuntutuliak	111	70	63%	19	2,164	375	7	727	164	23	2,549	415	3	371	129	0	0	0	
Eek	99	54	55%	11	1,091	385	3	316	103	15	1,505	517	7	652	147	0	16	11	
Kasigluk	119	56	47%	8	905	339	6	661	403	16	1,886	649	1	166	104	0	2	3	
Nunapitchuk	123	62	50%	10	1,210	344	4	495	180	26	3,238	1,187	3	329	127	0	9	7	
Atmautluak	76	38	50%	9	654	510	3	227	57	32	2,424	1,807	4	301	199	0	1	1	
Napakiak	99	50	51%	8	775	189	2	210	141	13	1,265	348	4	371	197	0	0	0	
Napaskiak	121	36	30%	17	2,034	843	7	794	453	32	3,831	1,105	15	1,783	778	0	4	7	
Oscarville	17	15	88%	6	105	24	2	27	10	12	212	68	5	81	20	0	0	0	
Bethel	1,750	535	31%	5	8,511	1,564	2	3,153	1,017	8	13,454	2,622	6	11,161	2,025	0	586	368	
Kwethluk	165	95	58%	11	1,862	290	4	720	208	14	2,355	449	7	1,195	334	0	14	19	
Akiachak	176	60	34%	16	2,827	988	4	707	242	22	3,836	2,385	9	1,613	686	0	56	69	
Akiak	94	43	46%	22	2,052	838	7	649	579	19	1,768	486	12	1,094	606	0	4	3	
Tuluksak	92	50	54%	10	882	171	4	349	137	12	1,110	295	5	490	199	0	0	0	
Lower Kuskokwim River	3,042	1,164	38%	8	25,072	2,367	3	9,035	1,379	13	39,433	4,399	6	19,607	2,396	0	692	375	
Lower Kalskag	85	32	38%	6	513	229	1	86	42	6	521	243	1	80	49	0	0	0	
Upper Kalskag	59	18	31%	8	454	228	2	89	69	4	217	143	3	164	109	0	20	0	
Aniak	163	64	39%	8	1,325	449	1	235	178	9	1,463	492	6	950	373	0	10	9	
Chuathbaluk	32	28	88%	6	180	27	2	51	12	9	274	38	3	95	7	0	0	0	
Middle Kuskokwim River	339	142	42%	7	2,472	542	1	461	192	7	2,475	559	4	1,289	386	0	30	9	
Crooked Creek	39	29	74%	4	153	63	1	28	23	8	328	75	4	170	78	0	5	0	
Red Devil	7	6	86%	8	55	0	1	5	0	14	98	0	5	35	0	0	0	0	
Sleetmute	36	25	69%	4	140	106	1	23	3	19	687	361	8	288	178	0	0	0	
Stony River	18	9	50%	8	137	83	2	42	21	40	726	454	8	135	39	1	11	13	
Lime Village ^a	5	0	0%	2	12	5	4	21	7	52	258	4	3	15	8	-	-	-	
McGrath	119	52	44%	1	87	48	0	0	0	2	231	111	8	901	11	0	0	0	
Takotna	26	18	69%	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	
Nikolai	31	24	77%	8	237	85	0	6	0	1	28	30	4	115	104	0	0	0	
Telida ^a	2	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Upper Kuskokwim River	283	163	58%	3	821	167	0	125	29	8	2,356	543	6	1,659	214	0	16	11	
Kuskokwim River Total^c	3,664	1,469	40%	18	28,365	2,432	5	9,621	1,393	29	44,264	4,465	16	22,555	2,435	0	738	375	

-continued-

Table 3-2.–Page 2 of 2.

Community	Households			Salmon species																	
	Total households	Households surveyed	Percentage of households surveyed	Chinook			Chum			Sockeye			Coho			Pink					
				Average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)	Average harvest per household	Estimated total harvest	CI (95%)			
Quinhagak	179	100	56%	15	2,656	445	4	804	297	18	3,169	909	6	1,103	350	0	28	29			
Goodnews Bay	87	49	56%	4	388	128	0	26	22	19	1,684	709	3	222	103	0	6	6			
Platinum	18	10	56%	4	78	6	4	63	9	37	660	244	11	189	91	1	18	3			
South Kuskokwim Bay	284	159	56%	11	3,122	460	3	893	297	19	5,513	1,162	5	1,514	371	0	52	30			
Kuskokwim Area Total	4,038	1,628	40%	29	31,487	2,474	8	10,514	1,423	48	49,777	4,611	21	24,069	2,463	1	790	376			

Source ADF&G Division of Subsistence household surveys, 2021.

Note Bold, italic text indicates Bayesian estimates.

Note Dashes indicate that data are unavailable.

a. Community was not surveyed. Harvest was not estimated due to lack of recent data.

b. Bayesian imputation was used to develop estimates.

c. Kuskokwim River Total includes the lower Kuskokwim River, middle Kuskokwim River, and upper Kuskokwim River.